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ABSTRACT
In this paper, it is pointed out that the existing general form of such fully fuzzy linear programming problems in which all the parameters are represented by such flat fuzzy numbers for which is valid only if there is not a negative sign. However, if there is a negative sign, then the existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems is not valid. Thus, a new general form is proposed.
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1. Introduction
Linear programming is one of the most frequently applied operation research techniques. Although it has been investigated and expanded for more than six decades by many researchers and from various points of view, it is still useful to develop new approaches in order to fit better real-world problems within the framework of linear programming.

In conventional approach, parameters of linear programming models must be well defined and precise. However, in a real-world environment, this is not a realistic assumption. Usually, the value of many parameters of a linear programming model is estimated by experts. Clearly, it cannot be assumed that the knowledge of experts is precise enough. Bellman and Zadeh [2] proposed the concept of decision making in fuzzy environments. After that, a number of researchers have exhibited their interest to solve the fuzzy linear programming problems [1, 5-30].

In this paper, the shortcomings of existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems are pointed out and a new general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems is proposed and the advantages of the proposed form over the existing form are discussed. Conclusions are discussed in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries
In this section, some basic definitions and arithmetic operations are presented [20].

2.1 Basic definitions

Definition 2.1: A function, usually denoted by \( L : [0, \infty) \to [0,1] \) or \( R : [0, \infty) \to [0,1] \) is said to be the reference function of fuzzy number if and only if (i) \( L(0) = 1 \) (ii) \( L \) is nonincreasing in \( [0, \infty) \).

Definition 2.2: A fuzzy number \( \tilde{A} \) defined on the set of real numbers \( R \), denoted as \( \tilde{A} = (m,n,\alpha,\beta)_{LR} \) is said to be an LR flat fuzzy number if

\[
\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
L\left(\frac{m-x}{\alpha}\right), & x \leq m \\
R\left(\frac{x-n}{\beta}\right), & x \geq n \\
1, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Where, \( \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \).

**Definition 2.3:** [4] Let \( \tilde{A} = (m,n,\alpha,\beta)_{LR} \) be an LR flat fuzzy number and \( \lambda \) be a real number in the interval \([0,1]\) then the crisp set, 
\[ A_\lambda = \{ x \in X : \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \lambda \} = [m - \alpha L^{-1}(\lambda), n + \beta R^{-1}(\lambda)], \]
is said to be \( \lambda \)-cut of \( \tilde{A} \).

**Definition 2.4:** [3] An LR flat fuzzy number \( \tilde{A} = (m,n,\alpha,\beta)_{LR} \) is said to be non-negative LR flat fuzzy number if \( m - \alpha \geq 0 \) and is said to be nonpositive LR flat fuzzy number if \( n + \beta \leq 0 \).

**2.2 Arithmetic operations**

In this section, the arithmetic operations between LR flat fuzzy numbers are presented [4].

Let \( \tilde{A}_1 = (m_1,n_1,\alpha_1,\beta_1)_{LR}, \tilde{A}_2 = (m_2,n_2,\alpha_2,\beta_2)_{LR} \) be two LR flat fuzzy numbers and \( \tilde{A}_3 = (m_3,n_3,\alpha_3,\beta_3)_{RL} \) be a RL flat fuzzy number.

Then
\[
\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = (m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2)_{LR}
\]
\[
\tilde{A}_1 \otimes \tilde{A}_3 = (m_1 - n_3, m_1 - m_3, \alpha_1 + \beta_3, \beta_1 + \alpha_3)_{LR}
\]

If \( \tilde{A}_1 \) and \( \tilde{A}_2 \) both are non-negative, then
\[
\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = (m_1m_2, n_1n_2, \alpha_1m_2 + \alpha_2n_2, \beta_1m_2 + \beta_2n_2)_{LR}
\]

If \( \tilde{A}_1 \) is nonpositive and \( \tilde{A}_2 \) is non-negative, then
\[
\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = (m_1n_2, n_1m_2, \alpha_1n_2 - \beta_1m_2 + \alpha_2m_2 - n_1\alpha_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)_{LR}
\]

If \( \tilde{A}_1 \) is non-negative and \( \tilde{A}_2 \) is nonpositive, then
\[
\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = (n_1m_2, m_1n_2, \alpha_2n_2 - \beta_1m_2 + \alpha_1m_2 - n_1\alpha_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)_{LR}
\]

and scalar multiplication is defined as
\[
\lambda \tilde{A}_1 = \begin{cases} 
(\lambda m_1, \lambda n_1, \lambda \alpha_1, \lambda \beta_1)_{LR} & \lambda \geq 0 \\
(\lambda n_1, \lambda m_1, -\lambda \beta_1, -\lambda \alpha_1)_{LR} & \lambda < 0
\end{cases}
\]

3. Shortcomings of existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems

In the existing methods [1, 12-17] it is assumed that the general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems \( P_2 \) is obtained by replacing the crisp parameters \( c_j, a_{ij}, b_i \) and \( x_j \) of crisp linear programming problem \( P_1 \) by fuzzy parameters \( \tilde{c}_j, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{b}_i \) and \( \tilde{x}_j \) respectively.

Maximize/Minimize \( \left( \sum_{j \in N_1} c_j x_j - \sum_{j \in N_2} c_j x_j \right) \)

Subject to \( (P_1) \)

\[
\sum_{j \in N_3} a_{ij} x_j - \sum_{j \in N_4} a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \quad i = 12, ..., m
\]

Where, \( x_j, a_{ij}, b_i, c_j \) are any real numbers and \( N_1 \cup N_2 = \{12, ..., n\}, \quad N_3 \cup N_4 = \{12, ..., n\}, \quad N_1 \cap N_2 = \phi, \quad N_3 \cap N_4 = \phi \).

Maximize/Minimize \( \left( \sum_{j \in N_1} \tilde{c}_j \otimes \tilde{x}_j \Theta \sum_{j \in N_2} \tilde{c}_j \otimes \tilde{x}_j \right) \)

Subject to \( (P_2) \)

\[
\sum_{j \in N_3} \tilde{a}_{ij} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \Theta \sum_{j \in N_4} \tilde{a}_{ij} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \leq \tilde{b}_i, \quad i = 12, ..., m
\]

Where, \( \tilde{x}_j, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{b}_i, \tilde{c}_j \) are unrestricted fuzzy numbers and \( N_1 \cup N_2 = \{12, ..., n\}, \quad N_3 \cup N_4 = \{12, ..., n\}, \quad N_1 \cap N_2 = \phi, \quad N_3 \cap N_4 = \phi \).
However, if all the parameters of \( (P_2) \) are represented by \( LR \) flat fuzzy numbers then it is not genuine to use the fully fuzzy linear programming problem \( (P_2) \) to find the fuzzy optimal solution of real life problems due to the following reasons:

In previous studies, it was pointed out that only a \( RL \) flat fuzzy number \( \tilde{A}_2 \) can be subtracted from an \( LR \) flat fuzzy number \( \tilde{A}_1 \) i.e., if \( \tilde{A}_1 \) and \( \tilde{A}_2 \) both are \( LR \) flat fuzzy numbers such that \( L(\tilde{A}_1) \neq R(\tilde{A}_2) \) then \( \tilde{A}_1 \Theta \tilde{A}_2 \) does not exist. Hence, if all the parameters of the fully fuzzy linear programming problem \( (P_2) \) are represented by such \( LR \) flat fuzzy numbers for which \( L(\tilde{A}_1) \neq R(\tilde{A}_2) \) then due to the existence of 

\[
\sum_{j=N_1}^{c_j} \Theta \sum_{j=N_2}^{\bar{c}_j} \Theta \sum_{j=N_3}^{a_{ij}} \Theta \sum_{j=N_4}^{\bar{a}_{ij}} \Theta \sum_{j=N_5}^{x_j} \Theta
\]

the fully fuzzy linear programming problem \( (P_2) \) is not valid.

Example 3.1 A manufacturer of biscuits is considering four types of gift packs containing three types of biscuits: orange cream (OC), chocolate cream (CC) and wafers (W). A market research conducted recently according to consumer preferences demonstrated that the assortments shown in Table 1 are to be in demand.

For the biscuits, the fuzzy manufacturing capacity and fuzzy costs are shown in Table 2.

Formulate a model to find the production schedule which maximizes the fuzzy profit by assuming that there are no market restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assortments</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Fuzzy selling price per kg. (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not less than 40% of OC, not more than 20% of CC, any quantity of W</td>
<td>((10,30,10,10)_{LR})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Not less than 20% of OC, not more than 40% of CC, any</td>
<td>((20,30,10,10)_{LR})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Most preferred assortments of biscuits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biscuit variety</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy plant capacity (kg/day)</td>
<td>((150,250,50,50)_{LR})</td>
<td>((180,220,20,20)_{LR})</td>
<td>((100,200,50,50)_{LR})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy manufacturing cost (Rs./kg)</td>
<td>((8,8,8,8)_{LR})</td>
<td>((9,10,4,2)_{LR})</td>
<td>((5,9,5,5)_{LR})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.1 Existing fuzzy linear programming formulation of the chosen problem

Using the existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems \( (P_2) \), the chosen problem can be formulated into the following fully fuzzy linear programming problem

Maximize

\[
(10,30,10,10)_{LR} \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \oplus (20,30,10,10) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \oplus (22,22,12,12) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \oplus (10,14,2,2) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{D1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D3}) \oplus (8,8,8,8) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D1}) \oplus (9,10,4,2) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D2}) \oplus (5,9,5,5) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D3})
\]

Subject to

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}_{A1} & \geq \quad 0.40(\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{B1} & \geq \quad 0.20(\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{C1} & \geq \quad 0.50(\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{A2} & \leq \quad 0.20(\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{B2} & \leq \quad 0.40(\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{C2} & \leq \quad 0.10(\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \\
\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D1} & \leq 150,250,50,50
\end{align*}
\]
In the objective function of fully fuzzy linear programming formulation \((P_3)\) of the problem, chosen in Example 3.1, subtraction of two \(LR\) flat fuzzy numbers is occurring. Hence, if \(L() \neq R()\) then the fully fuzzy linear programming formulation \((P_3)\) is not valid i.e., it is not possible to find the fuzzy optimal solution of the chosen problem by using the fully fuzzy linear programming formulation \((P_3)\).

Remark 1. The shortcomings, pointed out in Section 3, will also occur in the existing general form of fuzzy linear programming problems [5-11, 18-30].

4. Proposed general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems

In this section, to solve the shortcomings of the existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems, pointed out in Section 3, a new general form of the fully fuzzy linear programming problems is proposed.

Maximize/Minimize \(S\)

Subject to

\[
\sum_{j \in N_1} c_j x_j = S + \sum_{j \in N_2} c_j x_j
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in N_3} a_{ij} x_j \leq b_j + \sum_{j \in N_4} a_{ij} x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m
\]

Where, \(x_j, a_{ij}, b_j, c_j\) and \(S\) are real numbers. Replacing all the crisp parameters of the crisp linear programming problems \((P_4)\) by fuzzy parameters general form of the fully fuzzy linear programming problems \((P_5)\) can be written as

Maximize/Minimize \(\tilde{S}\)

Subject to

\[
\tilde{\Sigma}_{j \in N_1} \tilde{c}_j x_j = \tilde{S} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{j \in N_2} \tilde{c}_j x_j
\]

\[
\tilde{\Sigma}_{j \in N_3} \tilde{a}_{ij} x_j \leq \tilde{b}_j + \tilde{\Sigma}_{j \in N_4} \tilde{a}_{ij} x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m
\]
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\[ \sum_{j=N_1}^{c_1} \otimes \tilde{x}_j = \tilde{S} \otimes \sum_{j=N_2}^{c_2} \tilde{c}_j \otimes \tilde{x}_j \]  
\[ \sum_{j=N_4}^{a_j} \otimes \tilde{a}_j \otimes \tilde{x}_j \leq r_j \leq r_j \geq r_j \otimes \tilde{b}_j \otimes \sum_{j=N_4}^{a_j} \tilde{a}_j \otimes \tilde{x}_j, \]
\[ i = 12, \ldots, m \]

Where, $\tilde{x}_j$, $\tilde{a}_j$, $\tilde{b}_j$, $\tilde{c}_j$ and $\tilde{S}$ are LR flat fuzzy numbers.

4.1 Advantages of the proposed general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems

Because in the proposed general form $(P_5)$ the subtraction of LR flat fuzzy numbers is not occurring, hence using the proposed general form the shortcomings of the existing general form pointed out in Section 3 are solved.

To show the advantage of the proposed general form over the existing general form, it was demonstrated that if the problem chosen in Example 3.1 is formulated by using the proposed general form, then all the shortcomings pointed out in Section 3 are solved.

Using the proposed general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems $(P_5)$, the problem, chosen in Example 3.1, can be formulated into fully fuzzy linear programming problem $(P_6)$:

Maximize $\tilde{P}$

Subject to

\[ (10,30,10,10)_{LR} \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \oplus (20,30,10,10) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \oplus (22,22,12,12) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \oplus (10,14,2,2) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{D1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D3}) = \tilde{P} \]
\[ (8,8,8,8) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D1}) \oplus (9,10,4,2) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D2}) \oplus (5,9,5,5) \otimes (\tilde{x}_{A3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{A1} \geq r \leq 0.40(\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{B1} \geq r \leq 0.20(\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{C1} \geq r \leq 0.50(\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{A2} \leq r \leq 0.20(\tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{A3}) \]  
\[ \tilde{x}_{B2} \leq r \leq 0.40(\tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{C2} \leq r \leq 0.10(\tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3}) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{A1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C1} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D1} \leq r \leq (150,250,50,50) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{A2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C2} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D2} \leq r \leq (180,220,20,20) \]
\[ \tilde{x}_{A3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{B3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{C3} \oplus \tilde{x}_{D3} \leq r \leq (100,200,50,50) \]

Where, $\tilde{x}_j(i = A,B,C,D; j = 1,2,3)$ is a non-negative LR flat fuzzy number and $\tilde{P}$ is an LR flat fuzzy number.

Fuzzy optimal value of the formulated problem $(P_6)$ by using the existing method [1] is shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Fuzzy optimal value</th>
<th>$L(\cdot)$ &amp; $R(\cdot)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing method</td>
<td>(14555,14555,0,0)LR</td>
<td>$L(x) = \text{maximum}(0,1-x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R(x) = \text{maximum}(0,1-x^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing method</td>
<td>(14759,14759,0,0)LR</td>
<td>$L(x) = \text{maximum}(0,1-x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R(x) = \text{maximum}(0,1-x^4)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Results of the chosen problem using proposed formulation $(P_6)$.

It is obvious from Table 4 that the proposed formulation $(P_6)$ is valid for all values of $L(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$. Therefore, by using the proposed general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems $(P_5)$ all the shortcomings, pointed out in Section 3, are solved.

5. Conclusions

Based on the present study it can be concluded that it is better to use the proposed general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems as it was compared to the existing general form of fully fuzzy linear programming problems.
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