Comparison between the ICON index and the esthetic component of the IOTN to determine the need for orthodontic treatment
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INTRODUCTION

The correct identification of patients in need of orthodontic treatment since early ages of life allows interceptive treatments to prevent the increase in the severity of the disorders and the need for more complex and expensive corrective orthodontic treatments.

Within orthodontic treatment malocclusion is the protagonist,¹ and its concept has evolved over time. Guilford spoke of malocclusion to refer to any deviation from the ideal occlusion. It has a multifactorial origin, not having a single etiological cause, but many interacting with each other.²,³

In response to the need for information about the prevalence of malocclusions and as a method to quantify the magnitude of the various features of malocclusion, as well as measure their severity objectively, several indexes have been suggested. They describe a situation concerning health or disease in a given population and its degree of severity, which make it possible to assess the deviation from normal or ideal occlusion in terms of perceived need for treatment.⁴,⁵
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Different indexes for malocclusion measurement and need for treatment have been used such as the occlusal index, the index of treatment priority (ITP), the World Health Organization (WHO) (malocclusion index), index of dental esthetics (IDE), index of orthodontic treatment priority by Richmond S et al (IOTN), index of severity of malocclusion and NHANES III, US (measurement of the occlusal characteristics) as well as the ones proposed by Jenny J and Cons NC, Grainger, Brook PH, Shaw WC, Daniel et al.4,6,7

The index of orthodontic treatment need (IOTN) with the dental health component (DHC), classifies between functional disability, and occlusal discrepancy and the standard component of aesthetic need (SCAN) which consists in a series of 10 photographs organized by level of attractive, being the degree 1 the most attractive and the grade 10 the less attractive are the tools most frequently used to measure the need for treatment.8,9

Within the standard component of aesthetic need, it was found that according to professional opinion grades 1-4 did not represent a need for treatment; grades 5-7 were borderline cases for necessity of treatment and grades 8-10 definitely needed orthodontic treatment.9

Daniels, Richmond et al. in the year 2000 proposed the index of complexity, outcome and need ICON with the aim of developing an index that was able to assess the complexity and need for orthodontic treatment. This is based on the perception of the need for treatment by 97 orthodontists of 9 countries who assessed 240 dental models to evaluate the need for treatment and 98 pairs of models of treatment cases before and after assessment of the results. It values 5 occlusal traits to which a score established by the author is assigned, depending on the degree of severity or normality. These scores are multiplied by the corresponding weighting factor and, finally, are added together to obtain a final score.7,10

This index provides a means to compare the beginning of treatments in different countries and will serve as the basis for quality standards in orthodontic treatments.11

The pretreatment orthodontic study models that were included in the sample were those with fully erupted permanent dentition (with the exception of third molars). All study models were made in the same laboratory. Patients with a history of previous orthodontic treatment and study models that presented fractures or modifications of dental structures were excluded.

The material used for the study consisted in a computer, a Surtek brand digital caliper and pretreatment orthodontic study models. The ICON index and the esthetic component of the IOTN for each of the study models was calculated. The Microsoft Office Excel 2007 program was used to tabulate the information and perform the descriptive statistics. The Kappa test was performed between the two indexes.

RESULTS

The sample was made up of 62.65 per cent women and 37.34 men; the average age was 17.2 years. The mean of ICON was 40.38 ± 25.21 points and for the esthetic component of the IOTN it was 4.03 ± 2.58 points. Descriptive statistics are listed in table I.

When documenting the type of treatment with the ICON, it was found that 73.48% of the cases were considered as mild or moderate and 26.49% as difficult or very difficult. With the index of dental aesthetics of the IOTN 65% had no need or little need of orthodontic treatment; 9.03% presented a moderate need for orthodontic treatment and 25.30% had a major need for orthodontic treatment (Figure 1).

The ICON index revealed that 62.5% of the population had no need for treatment and 37.34% needed orthodontic treatment. With the esthetic component of the IOTN, 65% of the sample did not need orthodontic treatment and 35% required treatment (Figure 2). The result of the Kappa test between the two indices was good (0.789); both indices coincide in 89.46 per cent of the evaluations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The ICON index and the esthetic component of the IOTN were used in order to assess the pretreatment orthodontic study models of patients from the Autonomous University of Nayarit. The study universe was 986 models. The sample was calculated with a confidence level of 95%, an error of 5% and a proportion of 10%, resulting in a sample size of 162 study models.

| Table I. Descriptive statistics of the ICON and the esthetic component of the IOTN (EC). |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean                           | ICON      | EC        |
| Standard deviation             | 25.21     | 2.58      |
| Maximum                        | 104       | 10        |
| Minimum                        | 7         | 1         |

www.medigraphic.org.mx
DISCUSSION

It is necessary for dental services to have a rigorous knowledge of oral disease and health care needs of the population. Therefore in the present investigation, the need for orthodontic treatment of malocclusions in patients who attend the Clinic of the Orthodontics Postgraduate Course at the Autonomous University of Nayarit was assessed. The ICON index and the esthetic component of the IOTN were used as an indicator of need for orthodontic treatment, making a comparison between them.

In Iran in the year 2011 Borzabadi-Farahani and Borzabadi-Farahani conducted a study in 502 subjects (253 girls and 249 boys between the ages of 11 and 14 years) in which they found a mean in the ICON index of 44.6 points, which is similar to that of the present study. However when they compared the ICON with the esthetic component of the IOTN using the Kappa test both indices agreed on most occasions; the value of Kappa obtained in the investigation in Iran was 0.55 and in this study it was 0.78.12

A study was conducted in the Orthodontics Unit at Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. In a population of 150 patients between the years 2011 and 2012 the need for orthodontic treatment was analyzed with the ICON index. It was observed that 38% of the studied population needed orthodontic treatment while 62% had no need of treatment. This result is similar to that of Borzabadi-Farahani and of the present study.13

In an investigation conducted in the year 2009 in Valencia Spain by Manzanera, Almerich-SillaMontiel-Company, and Gandia, 363 children under the age of 12 years and 292 of 15 to 16 years of age were studied using the IOTN index of treatment. No significant differences were found in terms of age. In children of 12 years of age the treatment need was 23.5%, and in the ages of 15-16 years, it was 18.5%. These values are similar to those of this study.14

Oliviera, Sheiham, Tsakos and O'Brien in the year 2008 in the United Kingdom conducted a study in which 87 children participated with a mean age of 12.21 years. They were evaluated by the IOTN index of treatment and the obtained results showed that 64.2% had a high need for orthodontic treatment; 21.4%, a moderate need, and 14.4% had little need for treatment, which differs from the results of the studied population in this research.15

Fox et al. in 2002 found that the ICON index identifies more cases in need of orthodontic treatment than the esthetic component of the IOTN.16 We agree with this study, although the difference was only 2.5% between the ICON and the EC of the IOTN.16

CONCLUSIONS

Both indexes showed a similar assessment to evaluate malocclusions. Using the ICON 62.5% of the studied population had no need for orthodontic treatment and with the IOTN, 65%.

The indexes agreed in their assessments of 89.46% of the cases so either two may be used to determine the need for orthodontic treatment. However in the case of using the ICON, the esthetic component of the
IOTN is one of the five elements required to calculate it, so it would be just as useful and faster to use only the esthetic component of the IOTN to determine the need for orthodontic treatment.
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