# "A Tensorial Form of the Theory of Functions". An Engineering Application to: Polynomial Interpolation

J.L. Urrutia-Galicia Coordinación de Mecánica Aplicada Instituto de Ingeniería, UNAM

(recibido: febrero de 2004; aceptado: agosto de 2004)

#### Abstract

From basicconcepts such as: ten sor cal cu lus (Flügge, 1972); func tional anal y sis (Mikhlin, 1964) and solid me chan ics (Soedel, 1972) the objective of yhis objetive is to show that be sides the "n" covariant functions (of functional anal y sis), linearly in dependent and not neces sarily or thogonal, there is another group of "n" contravariant functions that are biorthogonal to the for mer group. The pre sent a tion of these twofamilies gives rise to a newformulation of functional analysis in skew coordinates. We will see that the concept of skew manifolds finds immediate applicability to the problem of interpolation of arbitrary functions via the use of the new concept of covariant and contravariant polynomials. The the ory and the examples demonstrate that the problems of interpolation and Fourier analysis can be grouped into one single the ory.

**Keywords:** In terpo lation, in dex notation, covariant and contravariant poly no mi als, general skew man i folds (Ten sor cal culus), tensorial the ory of functions, convergence.

#### Resumen

A partir de conceptos básicos de cálculo tensorial (Flügge, 1972), análisis funcional (Mikhlin, 1964) y de mecánica de sólidos (Soedel, 1972), el objetivo de este artículo es demostrar que además de las "n" funciones covariantes (de análisis funcional), linealmente independientes pero no necesariamente ortogonales, existe otro grupo de "n" funciones contravariantes que son biortogonales al grupo ante rior. La presentación de estas dos familias de funciones da origen a una nueva formulación de análisis funcional en coordenadas oblicuas. Veremos que el concepto de espacios coordenados oblicuos encuentra aplicación inmediata al problema de interpolación de funciones arbitrarias vía el uso del nuevo concepto de polinomios covariantes y contravariantes. La teoría y los ejemplos demuestran que los problemas de interpolación y análisis de Fourier se pueden agrupar y tratar dentro de una sola y única teoría.

**Descriptores**: Interpolación, notación índice, polinomios covariantes y contravariantes, espacios gener ales oblicuos (cálculo tensorial), teoría tensorial de funciones, convergencia.

#### Introduction

One of the most controversial topics in numerical analysis is the problem of interpolation and a great variety of approximate methods can be found. However, when we ex am ine "Why and in what sense are those methods accurate" we find a disenchanting

panorama since there are no answers to those questions (Carnaham *et al.*, 1969) and (Forsythe *et al.*, 1977). When trying to ap proximate a given arbitrary function f(x) with some poly no mial

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n x^n ,$$

it is a common procedure to select n + 1 points and to obtain the  $a_n$  coefficients from the solution of the following n + 1 equations

It is clear that the choice of the n + 1 points is not unique, and de fin ing which group is the best is a tremendous task. There are a great number of possible sets of points to be selected. However, we can not decide conclusively from which group of points we can get our best ap prox i ma tion to f(x). Quite easily we come across statements like (Forsythe et al., 1977) "The criterion of rea son able ness (of a given polynomial approximation to a function f(x) may vary from problem to problem and may never be sat is fac to rily un der stood". When we deal with measured or tabulated values of a function f(x) that depends on x, one possible approach could be the method of di vided differ ences of Newton. Unfortunately, the same doubts arise with respect to the approximation and the sense of convergence of the proposed in terpolations.

In experimental analysis, it is usual to cull experimental values  $f_i(x)$  and values of the experimental variable  $x_i$ . The problem is to find (Fraleigh and Beauregard, 1990) some function  $f(x) = r_0 + r_1 x$  with certain values of  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  that fits accurately our experiments. However, no mention is made of the sense and rate of convergence of the function f(x) obtained. We only note that some how our function approaches very closely our data points  $f_i(x)$ .

Maybe one of the most pop u lar meth ods is the one pro posed by Lagrange. It of fers the pos si bil ity of getting one special polynomial that reproduces exactly each and every data. However the same doubt arises regarding exactness of our approximation. At this point it has to be noted that, one major drawback of other methods is the handling of se quences like,  $(1, x, x^2, x^3, ..., x^n)$  not or thogonal among them by using the Gram-Schmidt

orthogonalization procedure in an attempt to get simplicity. In view of this, it is not surprising that in many problems of interpolation we resort to orthogonal polynomials like those of Laguerre, Chebyshev or Legendre among many others. The reason for this choice is, apparently, a better convergence. However, no clear definitions of convergence are provided.

Searching for some clues to the conver gence of some interpolating polynomial we find the following Faber's The orem (Forsythe *et al.*, 1977):

"For any in ter po lat ing array there ex ists a con tin uous func tion g and an x in [a, b] such that Pn(g)(x)does not con verge to g(x), as  $n \to \infty$ ".

An example of this problem of divergence is Runge's Function presented in reference (Forsythe *et al.*, 1977).

Up to this point we have been speaking of in terpolation with orthogonal (Legendre) and with nonorthogonal functions via different methods with out men tioning that the problem of in terpolation of data or functions can be gathered in the same mathematical scheme when we develop the concept of functional analysis with covariant and con-travariant manifolds  $\hat{\phi}_n$  and  $\hat{\phi}^n$ . This kind of manifold recently found and applied in the field of dynamics (Urrutia, 1992a and 1992b) sets up the basis for a generalized functional analysis with skew manifolds. We note that in some references (Urrutia, 1998) and (Bowen et al., 1976) at tention is fo cused on one man i fold  $u^n$  and one dual man i fold vn which are biorthogonal and are associated to a nonsymmetric trans for ma tion ma trix A. For a sym met ric ma trix both spaces are equal and no new in for ma tion is given. In fact in a pre vi ous paper it has been seen that if the ma trix trans for ma tion is symmet ric we can still be able to cal cu late both man ifolds which are identified now as un  $u^n = \phi_n$ (covariant man i fold) and  $\gamma^n = \phi^n$  contravariant manifold). Be sides, we will not be only con cen trated in the problem of existence, already tackled in (Urrutia, 1992a and 1992b), but rather in the direct

use of these mathematical tools in the solution and application of real problems.

#### **Theory**

Given a set of covariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  linearly in dependent (not necessarily or thogonal) in a given domain  $\Omega$ , there is another set  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$  of contravariant functions biorthogonal to the former ones. Therefore, given an arbitrary function  $\widetilde{F}$  in the same domain  $\Omega$  with norm  $|\widetilde{F}|$ , can be decomposed in the following manner

$$\widetilde{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n \widetilde{\Phi}_n \tag{2}$$

In covariant basis  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and contravariant components  $f^n$  (sca lars) or in the form

$$\widetilde{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \widetilde{\phi}_n \tag{3}$$

in contravariant basis  $\tilde{\phi}^n$  and covariant components  $f^n$ (sca lars). There fore if equations (2) and (3) are available we can calculate the norm of the function (or vec tor, Urrutia, 2003)  $\tilde{F}$  in the following way

$$|F|^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{n} f_{n}$$

$$|F| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{n} f_{n}}$$
(4)

which for skew coordinate functions is the counter part and constitutes a generalization of the Pythagorean theorem used in rectangular systems in the theory of vectors.

A particular case oc curs, when the manifold  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  is or thogonal or orthonormal. In this case all members of the covariant manifold  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  are both linearly independent and orthogonal. The contravariant basis  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$  are collinear to the functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and therefore,  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$  is identical to  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ . In the same way

 $f^n = f_n$ . Thus from equation (4) the norm of the function  $\widetilde{F}$  is equal to

$$F = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n f_n} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2}$$
 (5)

for orthogonal linear manifolds. For the general case of skew coordinates, if the covariant and contravariant approximations are complete and convergent we must respect the following two equations

$$F = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n f_n}$$
 (6a)

$$F \ge \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} f^n f_n} \tag{6b}$$

Which are the Parseval and Bessel conditions respectively for skew coordinates.

### Norms of Skew Vectors and Continuous Functions

Before embarking on fur ther devel op ments, we will define several operations used for discrete (vectors, Urrutia, 2003) and continuous functions in order to cover both cases in one presentation.

The scalar product of two vectors  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  (or  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$ ) and the en ergy norm of the same vectors with respect to the operator  $K_{mn}$  are defined by the following two equations

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{n},\widetilde{\phi}_{m}>=\widetilde{\phi}_{n}^{T}\widetilde{\phi}_{m}$$
 (7)

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{n}K_{m}\widetilde{\phi}_{m}>=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\sum_{m=1}^{M}\widetilde{\phi}_{n}^{T}K_{nm}\widetilde{\phi}_{m}$$
 (8)

where  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  stands for a column vector,  $\widetilde{\phi}_n^{\mathrm{T}}$  is a row vector which is the transpose of  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{nm}$  is a transformation matrix.

The scalar product of two functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  (or  $\widetilde{\phi}^m$ ) and the en ergy norm of the same functions

with respect to the oper a tor  $\mathbf{K}_{m}$  are defined by the following two equations

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{n,}\widetilde{\phi}_{m,}>=\int\Omega\widetilde{\phi}_{n}(x)\widetilde{\phi}_{m}(x)dx$$
 (9)

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{n} K_{nm}\widetilde{\phi}_{m}> = \sum_{1}^{N} \sum_{1}^{M} \int \Omega \widetilde{\phi}_{n}(x) K_{nm}\widetilde{\phi}_{m}(x) dx$$
 (10)

Despite their different aspect, equations (7) to (10) stand for an integration process.

### Covariant and Contravariant Basis for Continuous Manifolds

We define a man i fold in a do main  $\Omega$  by a set of contravariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$  linearly independent. A sec ond group of covariant base functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  is defined in the same do main  $\Omega$  in such a way that the scalar product be tween these two kinds of co or dinates leads us to the Kronecker symbol  $\delta_n^n$  as follows

$$\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\Phi}^{m} \widetilde{\Phi}_{n} d\Omega = <\widetilde{\Phi}_{n}, \widetilde{\Phi}^{m} \ge \delta_{n}^{m} =$$

$$0m \ne n$$
(11)

An ar bi trary function  $\widetilde{F}$  can be resolved in these two man i folds as follows

$$\widetilde{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \widetilde{\phi}^n \tag{12}$$

$$\widetilde{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^n \widetilde{\phi}_n \tag{13}$$

Where  $C^n$  and  $C_n$  stand for, the contravariant and the covariant components of  $\widetilde{F}$ . Any continuous function can be decomposed in covariant and contravariant basis  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$ . So, it can be shown that when we attempt to resolve the covariant base function  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  in covariant components the following result is obtained

$$\overleftarrow{\Phi}_{\alpha} = \overleftarrow{\Phi}_{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\Phi}^{1} + \overleftarrow{\Phi}_{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\Phi}^{2} + \overleftarrow{\Phi}_{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\Phi}^{3} + \dots$$
(14)

In tensor notation

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{n} = \widetilde{\Phi}_{nm} \widetilde{\Phi}^{m} \tag{15}$$

Recall that  $\widetilde{\phi}_1 \cdot \widetilde{\phi}_n = \widetilde{\phi}_n \cdot \widetilde{\phi}_1$  and  $\phi_m = \phi_m$ . In the same fashion the following decomposition is possible

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{n} = \Phi^{nm} \widetilde{\Phi}_{m} \tag{16}$$

In the last two equations  $\phi_m$  and  $\phi^{nm}$  are the covariant and contravariant metric tensors of tensor callou lus. Usually, it is easy to choose an arbitrary and complete set of covariant base functions. The difficult part had been to find the contravariant base functions, to overcome this difficulty we continue as follows. By hypothesis we know that the Kronecker delta function is obtained when the following product is performed (Urrutia, 2003) (now an integral)

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{n} \cdot \widetilde{\phi}^{m} = \delta_{n}^{m} \tag{17}$$

Using the re sults (15) and (16) we find

$$\langle \phi_{ns} \widetilde{\phi}^{s}, \phi^{mt} \widetilde{\phi}_{t} \rangle = \delta_{n}^{m}$$

$$\phi_{ns} \phi^{mt} \delta_{t}^{s} = \delta_{n}^{m}$$

$$\phi_{ns} \phi^{ms} = \delta_{n}^{m}$$
(18)

When we fix the value of m and we perform the sum mations over the repeated index s, the following set of m metric components  $\phi^{mn}$  is obtained

$$\phi_{11}\phi^{ml} + \phi_{12}\phi^{m2} + \phi_{13}\phi^{m3} + \phi_{14}\phi^{m4} + \dots + = \delta_{1}^{m}$$

$$\phi_{21}\phi^{ml} + \phi_{22}\phi^{m2} + \phi_{23}\phi^{m3} + \phi_{24}\phi^{m4} + \dots + = \delta_{2}^{m}$$

$$\phi_{31}\phi^{ml} + \phi_{32}\phi^{m2} + \phi_{33}\phi^{m3} + \phi_{34}\phi^{m4} + \dots + = \delta_{3}^{m}$$

$$etc$$

To illustrate the use of equation (19) let us assume that the linear manifolds  $\Phi_m$  and  $\Phi^m$  have

only three components. Then equation (19) will pro vide us with three sys tems of equations. If in equation (19) we set the value of m = 1 one set of equations is obtained as follows with  $\phi_{mn}$  known

$$\phi_{11}\phi^{11} + \phi_{12}\phi^{12} + \phi_{13}\phi^{13} = 1 
\phi_{21}\phi^{11} + \phi_{22}\phi^{12} + \phi_{23}\phi^{13} = 0 
\phi_{31}\phi^{11} + \phi_{32}\phi^{12} + \phi_{33}\phi^{13} = 0$$
(20)

That in ma trix form leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{12} \\
\phi_{21} & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} \\
\phi_{31} & \phi_{32} & \phi_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi^{11} \\
\phi^{12} \\
\phi^{13}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

In similar fashion

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{12} \\
\phi_{21} & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} \\
\phi_{31} & \phi_{32} & \phi_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi^{21} \\
\phi^{22} \\
\phi^{23}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

And fi nally,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{12} \\
\phi_{21} & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} \\
\phi_{31} & \phi_{32} & \phi_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi^{31} \\
\phi^{32} \\
\phi^{33}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

From this the elements ( $\phi^{mn}$ ) of the contravariant metric tensor (3  $\times$  3 tensor) are calculated. With the covariant and contravariant metrics  $\phi_{mn}$  and  $\phi^{mn}$  available we can calculate the contravariant base functions as follows

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{n} = \Phi^{mn} \widetilde{\Phi}_{m} \tag{24}$$

We can now con tinue with any fur ther analy sis.

### Example 1

Given a set of three skew covariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_0 = 1$ ,  $\widetilde{\phi}_2 = x^2$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}_4 = x^4$  find the corresponding set of contravariant functions in the domain  $-1 \le x$ 

 $\leq +1$ . Odd powers  $(x, x^3, x^5, \text{ etc})$  do not intervene be cause in a later ex am ple the cos (x) function (an even function) will be an a lyzed.

First, we have to find the elements of the covariant metrics as follows

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{n}, \ \widetilde{\phi}_{m}> = \int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{\phi}_{n} \widetilde{\phi}_{m}^{dx} = \phi_{nm}$$

$$\therefore \phi_{00} = \int_{-1}^{1} (1)^{2} dx = 2$$

$$\phi_{02} = \int_{-1}^{1} (1) x^{2} dx = 2 / 3$$

In similar fashion we find the rest of the elements to obtain

$$\phi_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2/3 & 2/5 \\ 2/3 & 2/5 & 2/7 \\ 2/5 & 2/7 & 2/9 \end{pmatrix}$$

From equation (19) we find the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2/3 & 2/5 \\ 2/3 & 2/5 & 2/7 \\ 2/5 & 2/7 & 2/9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi^{00} \\ \phi^{02} \\ \phi^{04} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From where, we obtain the first row of the metrics matrix  $\phi$   $^{mn}$ . With a similar procedure we get two more equations and the rest of the elements of  $\phi$   $^{mn}$ 

$$\phi^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 17578 & -82031 & 7.3828 \\ -8.2031 & 68.9063 & -73.8281 \\ 7.3828 & -73.8281 & 86.1328 \end{pmatrix}$$

With the metric elements  $\widetilde{\phi}^{mn}$  we can get the contravariant basis  $\widetilde{\phi}^{n}$  from equation (16)

$$\widetilde{\phi}^{0} = 1.7578 - 82031(x^{2}) + 7.3828(x^{4})$$

$$\widetilde{\phi}^{0} = 1.7578 - 82031 x^{2} + 7.38284 x^{4}$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{\phi}^2 = -82031 + 68.9063x^2 - 738281x^4$$

and 
$$\tilde{\phi}^4 = 7.3828 - 73.8281x^2 + 86.1328x^4$$

The reader can verify that the following equation holds true

$$<\widetilde{\Phi}_n$$
,  $\widetilde{\Phi}^m>=\int_{-1}^{1}\widetilde{\Phi}_n(x)\widetilde{\Phi}^m(x)dx=\widetilde{\Phi}_n^m$ 

## Example 2, an Application to Interpolation

Find a poly no mial ap proximation in three terms to the function cos(x) in the do main [-1, 1] with the following form

$$\cos(x) = c^0 + c^2 x^2 + c^4 x^4$$

$$cos(x) = c^0 \phi_0 + c^2 \phi_2 + c^4 \phi_4$$

Use the covariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  and the contravariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}^n$  from example 1. According to reference (Carnaham *et al.*, 1969) that uses Chebyshev poly no mi als the so lu tion to this problem is

$$\omega s(x) = 0.99995795 - 0.49924045 x^2 + 0.03962674 x^4$$

#### Solution

When we dot mul ti ply equa tion (23) by the co or dinate function  $\tilde{\phi}^o$  we get the following

$$<\widetilde{\phi}^{0}$$
 ,  $cos(\lambda)>=<\widetilde{\phi}^{0}$  ,  $(c^{0}\widetilde{\phi}_{0}+c^{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{2}+c^{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{4})>$ 

If we remember that  $<\widetilde{\phi}^n$ ,  $\widetilde{\phi}_m>=\delta_m^n$ , it is clear that the coefficient  $c^0$  is obtained from the following equation, written now in form of an integral

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{\phi}^{0} \cos(x) dx = \partial^{0}$$

With 
$$\widetilde{\Phi}^0 = 1.7578 - 8.2031 \, x^2 + 7.3828 \, x^4$$
.

When the integral is evaluated we see that  $c^0 = 0.999958197$ . If we now per form the sca lar product of equa tion (24)  $\tilde{\phi}^2$  we will get the following

$$<\widetilde{\phi}^2$$
,  $\cos(x) > = <\widetilde{\phi}^2$ ,  $(c^0 \widetilde{\phi}_0 + c^2 \widetilde{\phi}_4 + c^4 \widetilde{\phi}_4) >$ 

From where it is clear that the coefficient  $c^2$  is equal to

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{\phi}^{2} \cos(x) dx = c^{2}$$

Where  $\tilde{\phi}^2 = -8.2031 + 68.9063 \ x^2 - 73.8281 \ x^4$ . When the integral is performed we see that  $c^2 = -.4999309946$ . In similar fashion we find  $c^4 = 0.039793817$ . Therefore, we have that within the interval  $-1 \le x \le 1$  the best approximation to the function  $\cos(x)$  is the following

$$\varpi s(x) = 0999958197 - 0.499309946 x^{2} + 0.039793817 x^{4}$$

In the basis  $\widetilde{\phi}_0 = 1$ ,  $\widetilde{\phi}_2 = x^2$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}_4 = x_4$ . However, this approximation to cos(x) is not unique as we can resort to the contravariant functions  $\widetilde{\phi}^0$ ,  $\widetilde{\phi}^2$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}^4$  from the first example. To make this fact clearer, we require the following approximation

$$\cos(x) = c_0 \, \overline{\phi}^0 + c_2 \, \overline{\phi}^2 + c_4 \, \overline{\phi}^4$$

This is now dot mul ti plied by  $\mathfrak{F}_0 = 1$  as follows

$$<\widetilde{\phi}_{0}$$
,  $\cos(\lambda)>=<\widetilde{\phi}_{0}$ ,  $(c_{0}\widetilde{\phi}^{0}+c_{2}\widetilde{\phi}^{2}+c_{4}\widetilde{\phi}^{4})>$ 

From where the following result is obtained

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{\phi_0} \cos(x) dx = c_0$$

When the integral is done we see that  $c_0 = 1.682941973$ . When  $\widetilde{\phi}_0$  is replaced by  $\widetilde{\phi}_2$  and by  $\widetilde{\phi}_4$  we obtain  $c_2 = 0.478267241$  and the last coefficient

 $c_4 = 0.266153329$ . Therefore, the function cos(x) can be equally represented by

$$\omega s(x) = 1.682941973 \ \widetilde{\phi}^0 + 0.478267241 \ \widetilde{\phi}^2 + 0.266153329 \ \widetilde{\phi}^4$$
 (26)

With  $\widetilde{\phi}^0$ ,  $\widetilde{\phi}^2$  y  $\widetilde{\phi}^4$  given by the following functions

$$\widetilde{\phi}^0 = 1.7578 - 8.2031 X^2 + 7.38284 X^4$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^2 = 8.2031 + 68.9063x^2 - 73.8281x^4$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^4 = 7.3828 - 73.8281 \, x^2 + 86.1328 \, x^4$$

Equa tions (25) and (26) some how fall very close to the solution (24) given in reference (Carnaham et al., 1969). At this point we note that from the three possible approximations (24) to (26), the solutions (24) and (25) that use the same covariant basis  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ are comparable. The problem now is to decide which of the so lu tions (24) and (25) is the best and in what sense. Any approach with given  $c^n$  and  $c_n$ must satisfy equations (6a) and (6b) of Parseval and Bessel for skew man i folds. In this con nec tion, Table 1 pres ents the co ef fi cients of the three approximations (24) to (26) to the function cos(x). In columns 2, 3 and 4 are lo cated the co efficients calculated according to the methods of Chebyshev and those of the pres ent paper. When for mula (6b) is applied using the coefficients of columns two and four we obtain the squared norm  $|\cos(x)|^2 =$ 1.45464763 and we get the squared root of this value we in turn obtain the norm cos(x) = 1.20608774. When the coefficients of columns three and four are equally multiplied we find that the norm of our function is |cos(x)| = 1.206088186. When we find the differences of these two norms with respect to the exact value |cos(x)| = 1.206088187 (calculated at the bottom of table 1) is 0.00000045 and 0.000000001 respectively, for the Chebyshev and the covariant approximations in the sense of norm. From this we conclude that the error of the covariant representation is 450 times smaller that the Chebyshev ap proximation.

As we can observe neither the Chebyshev nor the Contravariant approximations overshoot the exact norm  $|\cos(x)| = 1.206088187$ . Therefore we can now confirm that both solutions satisfy the Bessel's inequality (6b). Up to this point we have accomplished several goals. First, we have obtained the best approximation to  $\cos(x)$ , in covariant basis, sec ond, we have found a new ap proximation the contravariant that allows us to recover the simplicity of the Pythagorean theorem, with equation (5), for the han dling of the concepts of NORM and CONVERGENCE in skew manifolds. In addition we knew (Carnaham et al., 1969) that the Chebyshev approximation had an error smaller than 4.234x10 <sup>-5</sup> and now we have a new approximation the covariant with an error 450 times smaller and with a rate of convergence that satisfies the convergence laws of Parseval and Bessel. This in turn allows us to focus our attention on polynomials with powers higher than four and to appreciate other problems of numerical analysis.

Table 1

	Chebyshev4	Contravariant	Covariant
a <sup>0</sup>	0.99995795	0.999970781	1.68294197
<b>a</b> <sup>2</sup>	-0.49924045	-0.499384548	0.478267252
$a^4$	0.03962674	0.038408595	0.266153368
cos(x)	1.20608774	1.206088186	
error	0.0000045	0.00000001	

## Higher Order Polynomial approximations to cos(x) for $-1 \le x \le 1$

According to what we have seen in this paper, in principle, we can obtain a covariant and a contravariant polynomials that tend to cos(x) in all points in the do main, i.e. we can obtain

$$cos(x) = c^{0}\widetilde{\phi}_{0} + c^{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{2} + c^{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{4} + ... + c^{n}\widetilde{\phi}_{n}$$

$$\omega s(x) = c_0 \widetilde{\phi}^0 + c_2 \widetilde{\phi}^2 + c_4 \widetilde{\phi}^4 + ... + c_n \widetilde{\phi}^n$$

and the norm of  $|\cos(x)|$  would be equal to  $\cos c_0$  $+ \hat{c}^2 c_2 + c^4 c_4 + \dots + c^n c_n$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . How ever, as we in crease the order of the matrices  $\phi_{mn}$  and  $\phi^{mn}$ we note that the ma trix  $\phi_{mn}$  has very small elements of the order of 2/(2(i + j)-3) that tend to zero when i and j tend to infinite. The variables i and j stand for the i-th row and the j-th column. This prob lem will lead us to the han dling of very ill-conditioned matrices of the kind of the fa mous matrices of Hilbert with el e ments of the type 1/(i + j), see ref er ence (Fraleigh and Beauregard, 1990). As it is in di cated in (Fraleigh and Beauregard, 1990), for ma tri ces of order greater than 10×10 to day's comput ers ac cu racy give rise to contravariant ma tri ces (when they are call cullated)  $\phi^{mn}$  with ex tremely large num bers that will lead us to diver gent re sults.

When we add the re sults of poly no mi als up to 10th order to the results of the polynomial of fourth order we obtain the coefficients shown in table 2. At this point some doubts arise with re spect to the values to which the coefficients  $a^n$  tend when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We immediately note that  $a^0$  is contained between 0.999970781 and 1.000000538,  $a^0$  changes between -0.499384548 and -0.500019533,  $a^0$  between 0.039808595 and 0.41778820,  $a^0$  be tween -0.001342159 and -0.001585556 but now we see that the coefficient of the tenth polynomial does not con verge any more and it even changes its sign.

Besides, the alternating sign of the coefficients of the poly no mial of order fourth to eight is lost in the tenth order poly no mial and this warns us that from this point on –for some reason– we start having numerical instability. From reference (Forsythe *et al.*, 1977) we might conclude that this diver gence may be the re sult of the Faber's The orem, shown in the introduction. How ever we can not accept it because we know that the following expansion exists

$$cos(x) = 1.0 - \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{1}{4!}x^4 - \frac{1}{6!}x^6 + etc$$

and whose coefficients exactly fall between the limiting values in which the coefficients of poly nomi als of fourth to eighth de gree. The tenth de gree poly no mial starts to di verge from ex pan sion (27) in view of the ill conditioning of the matrix  $\phi_{mn}$  as it can be seen in equation (21). Working with double or higher pre ci sion we re cover some ex act ness but soon we confront divergent approximations for higher values of *n* again. In table 3 we present the exact first eleven significant con-travariant co efficients ob tained from equa tion (27), that our in tuition suggests must be the coefficients that we should ob tain in table 2 if we will in crease the preci sion of our cal cu la tions. Fol lowing a similar procedure to the one used to calculate the contravariant poly no mial (26) the covariant co efficients  $c_n$  were call cullated and are presented in the third column of table 3. If the co efficients  $a^n$  and  $a_n$ of table 3 are certainly the contravariant and the covariant co efficients of cos x be tween  $-1 \le x \le 1$  then if we calculate the norm of this function using equation (4) we must satisfy Bessel's inequa-lity (6b) when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  In this sense it is readily observed that in the fourth col umn of table 3 we present the ac cu mu lated norm of cos (x) when we use equation 4. When n=10 the squared norm is  $|\cos(x)|^2 = 1.454648715$  (smaller than 1.454648716) and it is not af fected any more for the in clu sion of the rest of the elements. From this we conclude that the poly no mial (27) con verges to cos (x) everywhere in the do main  $-1 \le x \le 1$  and con verges to the norm of cos (x) ac cord ing to the Bessel's in equality (6b). In order to ob serve one more effect of the divergence of the different approximations to cos (x) we obtained the norms of contravariant coefficients of table 2 and the covariant coefficients of the third column of table 3. The different approximations to the norm of cos(x) are shown in the last row of table 2.

As it can be seen, the norm of the poly no mial of fourth order is 1.454648713, the polynomial of sixth de gree has a norm of 1.454648692 (ac tu ally it starts to diverge) and up to this point there is no major objection. However, the last two columns show norms that are greater than the exact value of 1.454648716 and this is a clear violation of the Bessel's in equality (6b) and a proof of divergence.

Table 2

CONTRAVARIANT COEFFICIENTS OF POWERS 4, 6, 8 AND 10					
<i>a</i> n	4	6	8	10	
$a^o$	0.999970781	0.99999835	1.00000538	0.999997793	
a <sup>2</sup>	-0.499384548	-0.499994769	-0.50001953	-0.49987840	
$a^4$	0.039808595	0.041638979	0.041778820	0.040454756	
$a^6$		-0.001342159	-0.00158556	0.002279407	
<i>a</i> <sup>8</sup>			0.000129896	-0.00450388	
a <sup>10</sup>				0.002038310	
NORM	1.454648713	1.454648692	1.454648824	1.454650073	

Table 3

n	<i>a</i> <sup>n</sup> eq (28)	Covariant coeffic. a	Norm of $\cos(x)$ cumulative sum $a^n a_n$
0	+1.00	+1.682941970	1.682941970
2	-0.50	0.478267252	1.443808344
4	+1/4!	0.266153368	1.454898068
6	-1/6!	0.181968530	1.454645334
8	+1/8!	0.137541095	1.454648745
10	-1/10!	0.110289862	1.454648715
12	+ 1/12!	0.091937628	1.454648715
14	-1/14!	0.078765706	1.454648715
16	+ 1/16!	0.068865056	1.454648715

#### Conclusions

From example 1 it is concluded that given a sequence of covariant functions (complete)  $\widetilde{\phi}_n$  there exists another set of contravariant functions  $\tilde{\phi}^n$ which is biorthogonal to the for mer one and that satisfies the Kronecker Delta function  $<\widetilde{\phi}^m,\widetilde{\phi}_n>$  =  $\delta_a^m$ . From example 2 we saw that any polynomial approximation to any function f(x) can now be tack led by using the concept of man i fold the ory in skew co or di nates. We must be only care ful with the convergence analysis that is directly related to the precision of the computing device available. As it was observed, the theorem of Faber that denies the existence of a polynomial  $P_n(x)$  that approaches f(x), everywhere, as  $n \to \infty$  is not valid. The problem of divergence shown in reference (Forsythe et al., 1977) is due to the lack of precision rather than to gues tions related with the existence or non existence of a polynomial  $P_n(x)$  that approaches f(x) as  $n \infty$ . The problem of in terpolation can now be seen as analysis in skew manifolds where equations (6a) and (6b) of Parseval and Bessel can be used to guar an tee con vergence of our approximating polynomials. To avoid duplication of work the in terested reader should review references (Urrutia, 1992a and 1992b), to get a deeper in sight in the me chan i cal and phys i cal mean ing of the manifold the ory pre sented in this paper.

#### **Future Work**

As a follow up to the find ings of references (Urrutia, 1992a, 1992b and 1998), and of the present paper we will use the same the ory now fo cused on the solution of nonlinear differential equations. As we will see, using covariant and contravariant manifolds will allows us to obtain an easy and novel method of solution for this kind of nonlinear problems.

#### References

Bowen R.W. and Wang C.C. (1976). Intro duction to Vectors and Tensors. Plenum Press., NY.

- Carnaham B., Luther H.A. and Wilkes J.O. (1969). Applied Numerical Methods. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Flügge W. (1972). Tensor Analysis and Continuum Mechanics. Springer-Verlag, NY.
- Forsythe G.E., Malcolm M.A. and Moler C.B. (1977). Computer Methods for Mathemathical Computations. Prentice Hall, Inc., NJ.
- Fraleigh J.B. and Beauregard R.A. (1990). Algebra Lineal. Addison- Wesley Iberoamericana, SA.
- Meirovitch L. (1967). Analytical Methods in Vibra tions, Macmillan Co. London, pp. 123, 22nd line
- Mikhlin S.G. (1964). Variational Methods in Mathematical Physics. Translation from the Russian by T. Boddington. Pergamon Press.
- Soedel W. (1972). Vibrations of Shells and Plates. Marcel Dekker, Inc., NY.
- Urrutia-Galicia J.L. (1992). On the Existence of Covariant and Contravariant Modal Forms of Dynamic Analysis. Transactions CSME (CANADA), Vol. 16, No.2, pp. 201-217.
- Urrutia-Galicia J.L. (1992). Una introducción sobre la existencia de formas modales covariantes y contravariantes de análisis dinámico (An Introduction on the Existence of Covariant and Contravariant Modal Forms of Dynamic Analysis). SISMODINAMICA (USA) Rev. Internacional.
- Urrutia-Galicia J.L (1998). On the Absolute Form of the Theory of Dinamics for Beams, Plates and Shells. Mitteellungen des Instituts fuer Statik der Universitaet Hannover, Mitteilung Nr. 47-98, Deutschland (Germany).
- Urrutia-Galicia J.L. (2003). La matriz inversa generalizada (el espacio contravariante) a-1 de matrices de orden *m* x *n*, con *m n* y la solución cerrada de este problema. Revista, Ingeniería Investigación y Tecnología, Vol. IV- No. 1- enero-marzo, Facultad de Ingeniería UNAM, ISSN 1405-7743.

#### Semblanza del autor

José Luis Urrutia-Galicia. Obtuvo el grado de ingeniero civil en la Facultad de Ingeniería, UNAM en 1975; asimismo, los grados de maestría (1979) y doctorado (1984) en la Universidad de Waterloo, en Ontario, Canadá. Es investigador del Instituto de Ingeniería, UNAM en la Coordinación de Mecánica Aplicada. Sus áreas de interés cubren: matemáticas aplicadas y mecánica teórica, análisis tensorial, estabilidad y vibraciones de sistemas discretos, vigas, placas y cascarones. Ha recibido reconocimientos como el "Premio al Mejor Artículo" de las Transacciones Canadienses de Ingeniería Mecánica (CSME) (Montreal, Canadá 1987) por el artículo "The Stability of Fluid Filled, Circular Cylindrical Pipes, part II Experimental", también le fue otorgada la "Medalla Duggan", que es la más alta distinción de la CSME (en la universidad de Toronto, Canadá, 1990) por el artículo "On the Natural Frequencies of Thin Simply Supported Cylin d rical Shells.